

Gödel-Type Metrics in Einstein-Aether Theory

II: Nonflat Background in Arbitrary Dimensions

Metin Gürses^{(a),(b)*} and Çetin Şentürk^{(b)†}

(a) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences

Bilkent University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey

(b) Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences

Bilkent University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey

April 19, 2016

Abstract

It was previously proved that the Gödel-type metrics with flat three-dimensional background metric solve exactly the field equations of the Einstein-Aether theory in four dimensions. We generalize this result by showing that the stationary Gödel-type metrics with nonflat background in D dimensions solve exactly the field equations of the Einstein-Aether theory. The reduced field equations are the $(D - 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean Ricci-flat and the $(D - 1)$ -dimensional source-free Maxwell equations, and the parameters of the theory are left free except $c_1 - c_3 = 1$. We give a method to produce exact solutions of the Einstein-Aether theory from the Gödel-type metrics in D dimensions. By using this method, we present explicit exact solutions to the theory by considering the particular cases: $(D - 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean flat, conformally flat, and Tangherlini backgrounds.

*gurses@fen.bilkent.edu.tr

†cetin.senturk@bilkent.edu.tr

1 Introduction

Gödel-type metrics were introduced in [1, 2] as a generalization of the usual Gödel solution [3] in general relativity and used to obtain new solutions to various (super)gravity theories in diverse dimensions. Just like in the original Gödel solution, the spacetimes described by such metrics also contain closed timelike and closed null curves when the characteristic vector field that defines a Gödel-type metric is a Killing vector [4]. In three dimensions, Gödel type of metrics naturally provide charged perfect fluid solutions and also give some special solutions to Topologically Massive Gravity [5].

In a recent publication [6], it was shown that the Gödel type of metrics with flat backgrounds form an exact solution to the field equations of the Einstein-Aether theory [7, 8] in four dimensions. The parameters of the theory corresponding to this solution obey the constraint $c_1 - c_3 = 1$ which decouples the twist degree of freedom from the Lagrangian. Here we generalize this work by extending the dimension to $D(\geq 4)$ and relaxing the assumption that $h_{\mu\nu}$ be a flat metric of a $(D - 1)$ -dimensional (Euclidean) space. We shall use the metric signature $(-, +, +, +, \dots)$ throughout the paper.

Let (M, g) be a D -dimensional spacetime geometry with the Gödel-type metric tensor [1, 2, 4]

$$g_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - u_\mu u_\nu, \quad (1)$$

where $h_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric of a $(D - 1)$ -dimensional locally Euclidean Einstein space, which we sometimes call the “background,” and u^μ is a timelike unit vector field ($u^\mu u_\mu = -1$). Here we take $u^\mu = -\frac{1}{u_0} \delta_0^\mu$ without losing any generality and assume $u^\mu h_{\mu\nu} = 0$. We also assume that the background metric is time-independent, i.e., $\partial_0 h_{\mu\nu} = 0$. The inverse of $g_{\mu\nu}$ is given by

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \bar{h}^{\mu\nu} + (-1 + \bar{h}^{\alpha\beta} u_\alpha u_\beta) u^\mu u^\nu + (\bar{h}^{\nu\alpha} u_\alpha) u^\mu + (\bar{h}^{\mu\alpha} u_\alpha) u^\nu, \quad (2)$$

where $\bar{h}^{\mu\nu}$ is the $(D - 1)$ -dimensional inverse of $h_{\mu\nu}$; i.e.,

$$\bar{h}^{\mu\alpha} h_{\alpha\nu} = \bar{\delta}_\nu^\mu \equiv \delta_\nu^\mu + u^\mu u_\nu.$$

Defining the Christoffel symbols of $h_{\mu\nu}$ as

$$\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \bar{h}^{\mu\rho} (h_{\alpha\rho,\beta} + h_{\beta\rho,\alpha} - h_{\alpha\beta,\rho}), \quad (3)$$

one can show that the Christoffel symbols of the full metric are

$$\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu = \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu + u^\mu u_\sigma \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\sigma + \frac{1}{2} (u_\alpha f^\mu{}_\beta + u_\beta f^\mu{}_\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} u^\mu (u_{\alpha,\beta} + u_{\beta,\alpha}), \quad (4)$$

where $f_{\alpha\beta} \equiv u_{\beta,\alpha} - u_{\alpha,\beta}$ and a comma denotes partial differentiation. We assume that the indices of u_μ and $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are raised and lowered by the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. By using a vertical stroke to denote covariant derivative with respect to the Christoffel symbols $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu$ of $h_{\mu\nu}$ so that

$$u_{\alpha|\beta} = u_{\alpha,\beta} - \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\nu u_\nu, \quad (5)$$

the equation (4) can be simply written as

$$\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu = \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu + \frac{1}{2}(u_\alpha f^\mu{}_\beta + u_\beta f^\mu{}_\alpha) - \frac{1}{2}u^\mu(u_{\alpha|\beta} + u_{\beta|\alpha}). \quad (6)$$

We shall denote the covariant derivative with respect to the Christoffel symbols $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu$ of $g_{\mu\nu}$ by ∇_μ or by a semicolon. For instance,

$$\nabla_\mu u_\nu \equiv u_{\nu;\mu} = u_{\nu,\mu} - \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\gamma u_\gamma. \quad (7)$$

In this work we have three main assumptions: (i) u_0 is a constant and taken to be unity, (ii) the acceleration of u^μ vanishes, i.e. $\dot{u}_\mu \equiv u^\alpha u_{\mu;\alpha} = 0$, and (iii) $u^\mu h_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and $\partial_0 h_{\mu\nu} = 0$. The first assumption eliminates the dilaton field $\phi \equiv \ln|u_0|$ in the field equations [2]. From the last two assumptions, we obtain that (a) u_μ is a Killing vector and (b) $\partial_0 u_\mu = 0$.

Proof of (a): We defined $f_{\alpha\beta} \equiv u_{\beta,\alpha} - u_{\alpha,\beta} = u_{\beta;\alpha} - u_{\alpha;\beta}$. Since u^μ is a unit vector, which gives $u^\mu u_{\mu;\alpha} = 0$, by assumption (ii) we find

$$u^\mu f_{\mu\alpha} = u^\mu u_{\alpha;\mu} - u^\mu u_{\mu;\alpha} = u^\mu u_{\alpha;\mu} = 0.$$

Hence it follows that

$$u_{\alpha;\beta} = u_{\alpha,\beta} - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho u_\rho = u_{\alpha,\beta} - \frac{1}{2}(u_{\alpha,\beta} + u_{\beta,\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2}(u_{\alpha,\beta} - u_{\beta,\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2}f_{\beta\alpha}.$$

This last result tells us that u^μ is a Killing vector.

Proof of (b): The last two assumptions above imply that $u^\mu u_{\alpha;\mu} = u^\mu u_{\alpha,\mu} - \Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\rho u_\rho u^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow u^\mu u_{\alpha,\mu} = 0$ with the choice $u^\mu = -\frac{1}{u_0}\delta_0^\mu$, and we get $\partial_0 u_\mu = 0$.

Using the above results, we can also show that

$$u^\mu{}_{;\mu} = 0, \quad \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\mu = \gamma_{\mu\nu}^\mu, \quad (8)$$

which we need in calculating the Riemann and Ricci tensors of (1). The Ricci tensor of (g, Γ) is found as

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \bar{r}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} f_{\mu}^{\alpha} f_{\nu\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} (u_{\mu} j_{\nu} + u_{\nu} j_{\mu}) + \frac{1}{4} f^2 u_{\mu} u_{\nu}, \quad (9)$$

where $f^2 \equiv f^{\alpha\beta} f_{\alpha\beta}$,

$$j_{\mu} \equiv f^{\alpha}{}_{\mu|\alpha} = \nabla_{\alpha} f^{\alpha}{}_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} f^2 u_{\mu}, \quad (10)$$

and $\bar{r}_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor of $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu}$. The Ricci scalar is obtained as

$$R = \bar{r} + \frac{1}{4} f^2 + u^{\mu} j_{\mu},$$

where \bar{r} denotes the Ricci scalar of $\bar{r}_{\alpha\beta}$.

Proposition 1. *Let (M, g) be a stationary spacetime geometry described by the Gödel-type metric (1) with $h_{\mu\nu}$ being the metric tensor of a $(D-1)$ -dimensional (locally Euclidean) space. Then the Einstein tensor becomes*

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu} = & \bar{r}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu\nu} \bar{r} + \frac{1}{2} T_{\mu\nu}^f + \frac{1}{2} (j_{\mu} u_{\nu} + j_{\nu} u_{\mu}) \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{4} f^2 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{r} \right) u_{\mu} u_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} (u^{\alpha} j_{\alpha}) g_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}^f$ denotes the Maxwell energy-momentum tensor for $f_{\mu\nu}$, i.e.

$$T_{\mu\nu}^f \equiv f_{\mu\alpha} f_{\nu}^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} f^2. \quad (12)$$

This gives the Einstein tensor of the metric (1) in its full generality. To have a physical energy-momentum distribution, such as charged perfect fluid energy-momentum tensor, we shall assume that the $(D-1)$ -space is an Einstein space and $j_{\mu} = 0$, which yields the following proposition.

Proposition 2. *Let (M, g) be a stationary spacetime geometry with the Gödel type metric (1). Let $h_{\mu\nu}$ be the metric tensor of a $(D-1)$ -dimensional Einstein space, i.e. $\bar{r}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\bar{r}}{D-1} h_{\mu\nu}$, and let $j_{\mu} = 0$. Then the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies the Einstein field equations with a charged perfect fluid*

$$G_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} T_{\mu\nu}^f + (p + \mathcal{E}) u_{\mu} u_{\nu} + p g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (13)$$

with

$$\nabla_\mu f^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} f^2 u^\nu = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$p \equiv \frac{(3-D)}{2(D-1)} \bar{r}, \quad (15)$$

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv \frac{1}{4} f^2 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{r}. \quad (16)$$

Here p is the pressure and \mathcal{E} is the energy density of the fluid.

Remark 1. When $D = 3$ the 2-dimensional space with the metric $h_{\mu\nu}$ is identically an Einstein space. The only field equations to be solved are those given in (14).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we give a brief review of Einstein-Aether theory. Here we study the theory in generic D dimensions and present the equations of motion. In Sec. 3, we show that the Gödel-type metrics discussed in the introduction exactly solve the field equations of the Einstein-Aether theory and the only equations that need to be solved are the $(D-1)$ -dimensional Euclidean vacuum field equations and $(D-1)$ -dimensional Euclidean Maxwell equations. In Sec. 4, we show how to construct such solutions in the theory by giving a recipe and present three explicit examples. Finally, we conclude our main results in Sec. 5.

2 Einstein-Aether Theory

The so-called Einstein-Aether theory [7, 8] is a vector-tensor theory of gravity in which the Lorentz symmetry is broken by the existence of a preferred frame of reference established by a vector field with fixed norm. The vector field defined in this way is referred to as the “aether” and dynamically couples to the metric tensor of spacetime. The theory has attracted a lot of interest in recent years and investigated from various respects. For example, the stability issue of the aether was discussed in [9, 10], time-independent spherically symmetric solutions and black hole solutions were analyzed in [11–18], generalizations and cosmological implications were studied in [19–23], and extensions to include other fields were considered in [24, 25].

The theory is described by, in the absence of matter fields, the action

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}, \quad (17)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = R - 2\Lambda - K^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\alpha v^\mu \nabla_\beta v^\nu + \lambda (v^\mu v_\mu + \varepsilon), \quad (18)$$

$$K^{\mu\nu}{}_{\alpha\beta} = c_1 g^{\mu\nu} g_{\alpha\beta} + c_2 \delta_\alpha^\mu \delta_\beta^\nu + c_3 \delta_\beta^\mu \delta_\alpha^\nu - c_4 v^\mu v^\nu g_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (19)$$

where Λ is the cosmological constant and v^μ is the aether field with the fixed-norm constraint

$$v^\mu v_\mu = -\varepsilon, \quad (\varepsilon = 0, \pm 1) \quad (20)$$

which is enforced into the theory by the Lagrange multiplier λ in (18). In general, for $\varepsilon = +1$ ($\varepsilon = -1$) the aether field will be timelike (spacelike), and for $\varepsilon = 0$ it will be null. However, in this paper we shall consider only the case $\varepsilon = +1$ (a unit timelike vector field)—the case for which the Einstein-Aether theory is defined, actually. In a later communication [26], we shall consider the case $\varepsilon = 0$ (a null vector field) independently. The constants c_1, c_2, c_3 and c_4 appearing in (19) are the dimensionless parameters of the theory and constrained by some theoretical and observational arguments [7, 8, 19, 27–33].

Variation of this action with respect to λ yields the constraint equation (20), and variation with respect to the metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ and the aether v^μ gives respectively the field equations

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = & \nabla_\alpha [J^\alpha{}_{(\mu} v_{\nu)} - J_{(\mu}{}^\alpha v_{\nu)} + J_{(\mu\nu)} v^\alpha] \\ & + c_1 (\nabla_\mu v_\alpha \nabla_\nu v^\alpha - \nabla_\alpha v_\mu \nabla^\alpha v_\nu) \\ & + c_4 \dot{v}_\mu \dot{v}_\nu + \lambda v_\mu v_\nu - \frac{1}{2} L g_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$c_4 \dot{v}^\alpha \nabla_\mu v_\alpha + \nabla_\alpha J^\alpha{}_\mu + \lambda v_\mu = 0, \quad (22)$$

where $\dot{v}^\mu \equiv v^\alpha \nabla_\alpha v^\mu$ and

$$J^\mu{}_\nu = K^{\mu\alpha}{}_{\nu\beta} \nabla_\alpha v^\beta, \quad (23)$$

$$L = K^{\mu\nu}{}_{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\mu v^\alpha \nabla_\nu v^\beta. \quad (24)$$

In (21), we eliminated the term related to the constraint (20). Multiplying the aether equation (22) by v^μ , one can also derive

$$\lambda = c_4 \dot{v}^\alpha \dot{v}_\alpha + v^\alpha \nabla_\beta J^\beta{}_\alpha. \quad (25)$$

A special case of the theory (18) is the Einstein-Maxwell theory with dust distribution (no pressure) [7] (see also [34,35]). Let $c_2 = c_4 = 0$ and $c_3 = -c_1$. Then the action becomes

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - 2\Lambda - \frac{c_1}{2} F^2 + \lambda (v^\mu v_\mu + 1) \right] \quad (26)$$

with the field equations

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = c_1 T_{\mu\nu}^F - \lambda v_\mu v_\nu, \quad (27)$$

$$\nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{\lambda}{c_1} v^\nu, \quad (28)$$

$$v^\mu v_\mu = -1, \quad (29)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} \equiv v_{\nu,\mu} - v_{\mu,\nu}$, $F^2 \equiv F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$, and $T_{\mu\nu}^F$ is the Maxwell energy-momentum tensor for $F_{\mu\nu}$. Actually, this theory differs from the usual Einstein-Maxwell theory due to the last condition (29) which brakes the gauge invariance of the theory. A generalization of this special theory is given in [34], called TeVeS, which contains also a scalar (dilaton) field coupling to the unit timelike vector field and the metric tensor.

3 Gödel-Type Metrics in Einstein-Aether Theory

Now we will show that Gödel-type metrics with nonflat background in arbitrary dimensions constitute solutions to Einstein-Aether theory. Let us first state the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *If we assume that the timelike vector v^μ in the Einstein-Aether theory defined in the previous section be also a Killing vector, which satisfies the relations*

$$v^\mu{}_{;\mu} = 0, \quad v^\mu{}_{;\nu} = 0, \quad v_{\nu;\mu} = \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu}, \quad (30)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} \equiv v_{\nu,\mu} - v_{\mu,\nu}$, then we obtain

$$J^\mu{}_\nu = \frac{1}{2} (c_1 - c_3) F^\mu{}_\nu, \quad (31)$$

$$\lambda = -\frac{1}{4} (c_1 - c_3) F^2, \quad (32)$$

$$L = \frac{1}{4} (c_1 - c_3) F^2, \quad (33)$$

and the field equations (21) and (22) reduce

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = (c_1 - c_3) \left[\frac{1}{2} T_{\mu\nu}^F + \frac{1}{4} F^2 v_\mu v_\nu \right], \quad (34)$$

$$\nabla_\alpha F^{\alpha\mu} - \frac{1}{2} F^2 v^\mu = 0. \quad (35)$$

Here $F^2 \equiv F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ and $T_{\mu\nu}^F$ is the energy-momentum tensor for the field $F_{\mu\nu}$.

An immediate consequence of this proposition is that the energy-momentum tensor of the aether field v^μ takes exactly the same form as that of a charged dust, as it can be seen readily from the right hand side of (34). So this is indeed in the form desired in Proposition 2. Moreover, the aether equation (35) resembles the condition (14) on the vector field u^μ in Gödel type of metrics. All these suggest that we can assume the scalings¹

$$v_\mu = \sigma u_\mu, \quad g_{\mu\nu} = w^2 g_{\mu\nu}^{godel}, \quad (36)$$

where u^μ is the unit timelike vector in the Gödel-type metric (1), and σ and w are constant scale factors such that $\varepsilon \equiv \sigma^2/w^2 = 1$. This last identity stems from the fixed-norm constraint (20). Therefore we have the proposition.

Proposition 4. *If the relations (36) hold, we find that*

$$J^\mu{}_\nu = \frac{1}{2\sigma} (c_1 - c_3) f^\mu{}_\nu, \quad (37)$$

$$\lambda = -\frac{1}{4\sigma^2} (c_1 - c_3) f^2, \quad (38)$$

$$L = \frac{1}{4\sigma^2} (c_1 - c_3) f^2, \quad (39)$$

where $f_{\alpha\beta} \equiv u_{\beta,\alpha} - u_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $f^2 \equiv f_{\mu\nu} f^{\mu\nu}$, and the Einstein-Aether field equations (34) and (35) become

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu}^{godel} + \Lambda \sigma^2 g_{\mu\nu}^{godel} = (c_1 - c_3) \left[\frac{1}{2} T_{\mu\nu}^f + \frac{1}{4} f^2 u_\mu u_\nu \right], \quad (40)$$

$$\nabla_\alpha F^{\alpha\mu} - \frac{1}{2} F^2 v^\mu = \nabla_\alpha f^{\alpha\mu} - \frac{1}{2} f^2 u^\mu = 0. \quad (41)$$

¹These scalings were initially intended to get rid of the constraint $c_1 - c_3 = 1$ and also have solutions with nonzero cosmological constant. However, at the end, it turned out that this is not possible (see Proposition 5 below).

Here $T_{\mu\nu}^f$ is the energy-momentum tensor for the field $f_{\mu\nu}$.

Thus by comparing Proposition 4 with Proposition 2, we can draw the following conclusion:

Proposition 5. *The stationary Gödel-type metrics in Proposition 2 solve the Einstein-Aether field equations (21) and (22) with*

$$v_\mu = \sigma u_\mu, \quad g_{\mu\nu} = \sigma^2 g_{\mu\nu}^{godel}, \quad c_1 - c_3 = 1, \quad (42)$$

if and only if $h_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric of $(D - 1)$ -dimensional Ricci-flat space (and hence $\Lambda = 0$).

At this point, it should be noted that in Proposition 2 it is assumed the $(D - 1)$ -dimensional metric $h_{\mu\nu}$ is an Einstein space and, as it turned out in Proposition 5, it is Ricci-flat. However, this assumption is actually unnecessary. Indeed, the field equations, together with the properties of the vector u^μ , force $h_{\mu\nu}$ to be necessarily Ricci-flat. This can be seen as follows. Taking $j_\mu = 0$ in (11) and using it in (40) with $c_1 - c_3 = 1$ produces the condition

$$\bar{r}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{r}(h_{\mu\nu} - u_\mu u_\nu) + \Lambda\sigma^2 g_{\mu\nu}^{godel} = 0 \quad (43)$$

on the metric $h_{\mu\nu}$, which, with the help of the definition (1), becomes

$$\bar{r}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{r} - 2\Lambda\sigma^2)g_{\mu\nu}^{godel} = 0. \quad (44)$$

Now multiplying both sides by u^μ and using the fact that $u^\mu \bar{r}_{\mu\nu} = 0$, one obtains

$$\bar{r} = 2\Lambda\sigma^2. \quad (45)$$

However, plugging this back into (44) yields $\bar{r}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ which in turn yields $\bar{r} = 0$. Then from (45) it necessarily turns out that $\Lambda = 0$.

Remark 2. *When $D = 4$, the 3-dimensional background metric $h_{\mu\nu}$ becomes flat. In 3 dimensions Ricci flat spaces have zero curvature tensor. Hence, in four dimensions ($D=4$) we loose no generality by assuming $h_{\mu\nu}$ as a constant tensor ($\partial_\alpha h_{\mu\nu} = 0$), as assumed in [6].*

We can arrive at similar results for the special case of the Einstein-Aether theory described by the action (26); that is,

Proposition 6. *The special Einstein-Aether field equations (27) and (28) are solved exactly by the stationary Gödel type metrics in Proposition 2 with*

$$v_\mu = \sigma u_\mu, \quad g_{\mu\nu} = \sigma^2 g_{\mu\nu}^{godel}, \quad c_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \lambda = -\frac{1}{4\sigma^2} f^2, \quad (46)$$

if and only if the $(D-1)$ -dimensional space $h_{\mu\nu}$ is Ricci-flat (and hence $\Lambda = 0$).

4 Some Exact Solutions

Now using the results of the previous section, we shall explicitly construct exact Gödel-type solutions to Einstein-Aether theory. Here is the recipe for doing this:

1. First, choose an appropriate Euclidean $(D-1)$ -dimensional background metric $h_{\mu\nu}$ that satisfies the Ricci-flatness condition,

$$\bar{r}_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (47)$$

2. Second, choose an appropriate timelike vector field u^μ that satisfies the condition (14), i.e. $j_\mu = 0$, or equivalently [1],

$$\partial_\mu (\bar{h}^{\mu\alpha} \bar{h}^{\nu\beta} \sqrt{\bar{h}} f_{\alpha\beta}) = 0, \quad (48)$$

where $\bar{h}^{\mu\nu}$ is the $(D-1)$ -dimensional inverse of $h_{\mu\nu}$, i.e. $\bar{h}^{\mu\alpha} h_{\alpha\nu} = \delta_\nu^\mu$.

3. Third, construct the Gödel-type metric defined by

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{godel} = h_{\mu\nu} - u_\mu u_\nu. \quad (49)$$

4. Finally, take

$$v_\mu = \sigma u_\mu, \quad g_{\mu\nu} = \sigma^2 g_{\mu\nu}^{godel}, \quad c_1 - c_3 = 1, \quad (50)$$

for some constant scale factor σ , which constitutes an exact solution to the Einstein-Aether field equations (21) and (22).

4.1 Solutions with $(D-1)$ -dimensional flat backgrounds

Let the $(D-1)$ -dimensional (Euclidean) background metric $h_{\mu\nu}$ be flat. Since $h_{0\mu} = 0$, this means that $h_{ij} = \bar{\delta}_{ij}$ with the Latin indices running from 1 to $(D-1)$. Thus our background reads

$$ds_{D-1}^2 = h_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \bar{\delta}_{ij} dx^i dx^j = (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + \dots + (dx^{D-1})^2. \quad (51)$$

This choice of the background trivially satisfies the Ricci-flatness condition (47).

Now let us assume that $u_i = Q_{ij}x^j$ where Q_{ij} is an antisymmetric constant tensor, i.e. $\partial_0 Q_{ij} = 0$. With this choice, $f_{ij} \equiv \partial_i u_j - \partial_j u_i = -2Q_{ij}$ and the condition (48) is trivially satisfied. Then

$$u_\mu dx^\mu = u_0 dx^0 + u_i dx^i = dx^0 + \frac{1}{2} Q_{ij} (x^j dx^i - x^i dx^j) \quad (52)$$

and the following metric solves the Einstein-Aether theory with $v_\mu = \sigma u_\mu$ and $c_1 - c_3 = 1$,

$$ds^2 = \sigma^2 ds_{godel}^2, \quad (53)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{godel}^2 &= g_{\mu\nu}^{godel} dx^\mu dx^\nu = (h_{\mu\nu} - u_\mu u_\nu) dx^\mu dx^\nu \\ &= \bar{\delta}_{ij} dx^i dx^j - (u_\mu dx^\mu)^2 \\ &= (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + \dots + (dx^{D-1})^2 \\ &\quad - \left[dx^0 + \frac{1}{2} Q_{ij} (x^j dx^i - x^i dx^j) \right]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Specifically when $D = 4$ (so $i, j = 1, 2, 3$) and $Q_{13} = Q_{23} = 0$ but $Q_{12} \neq 0$, with the coordinates labeled by (t, x, y, z) , the Gödel-type metric (54) becomes

$$ds_{godel}^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 - \left[dt + \frac{1}{2} Q_{12} (y dx - x dy) \right]^2, \quad (55)$$

or in cylindrical coordinates (ρ, ϕ, z) ,

$$ds_{godel}^2 = d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\phi^2 + dz^2 - \left[dt - \frac{1}{2} Q_{12} \rho^2 d\phi \right]^2. \quad (56)$$

As is already discussed in [1,4,6], since ϕ is a periodic variable, this spacetime contains closed timelike and null curves $x^\mu = (t, \rho, \phi, z)$ with $t, \rho, z = \text{const.}$ and $\rho \geq 2/|Q_{12}|$.

The energy density (16) for the spacetime (54) can be found to be

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv \frac{1}{4}f^2 = \frac{1}{4}f_{ij}f^{ij} = Q_{ij}Q^{ij} = \text{const.}, \quad (57)$$

which is regular everywhere in any dimension.

4.2 Solutions with $(D-1)$ -dimensional conformally flat backgrounds

This time let us assume that the background metric h_{ij} be conformally flat; that is, $h_{ij} = e^{2\psi(r)}\bar{\delta}_{ij}$, where r is the radial distance in \mathbb{R}^{D-1} defined by $r^2 = \bar{\delta}_{ij}x^ix^j = x_ix^i$. Then, denoting the derivative with respect to r by a prime, we work out that (see, for instance, [36])

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}_{ij} = -\bar{\delta}_{ij} \left[\psi'' + (2D-5)\frac{\psi'}{r} + (D-3)\psi'^2 \right] \\ - x_ix_j \frac{D-3}{r} \left(\psi'' - \frac{\psi'}{r} - \psi'^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

For this to be equal to zero, we first assume that

$$\psi'' - \frac{\psi'}{r} - \psi'^2 = 0 \quad (59)$$

which has the generic solution

$$\psi(r) = a - \ln(r^2 + b) \quad (60)$$

for some real constants a and b . Putting (60) back into (58) produces

$$\bar{r}_{ij} = \bar{\delta}_{ij} \frac{4b(D-2)}{(r^2 + b)^2}, \quad (61)$$

which says that the Ricci-flatness condition (47) is achieved only when $b = 0$ (since $D > 2$ always).

Next we have to find an appropriate vector field u^μ that satisfies the condition (48). For this purpose, let us take $u_i = s(r)Q_{ij}x^j$ where again Q_{ij} is an antisymmetric constant tensor. Then f_{ij} turns out to be

$$f_{ij} = 2 \frac{s'}{r} x^m Q_{m[ix_j]} - 2sQ_{ij}, \quad (62)$$

where the square brackets denote antisymmetrization, and the condition (48) becomes

$$\left(\frac{A}{r^2}\right)^{D-5} \left[s'' + (10-D)\frac{s'}{r} + 4(5-D)\frac{s}{r^2} \right] \bar{\delta}^{jl} Q_{lm} x^m = 0, \quad (63)$$

where $A \equiv e^a$. This equation is satisfied only if the expression inside the square brackets vanishes, which gives the generic solution

$$s(r) = C_1 r^{D-5} + \frac{C_2}{r^4} \quad (64)$$

for some real constants C_1 and C_2 . Therefore, we satisfy the condition (48), if we choose

$$u_i = \left(C_1 r^{D-5} + \frac{C_2}{r^4} \right) Q_{ij} x^j. \quad (65)$$

Then the line element

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{godel}^2 &= g_{\mu\nu}^{godel} dx^\mu dx^\nu = (h_{\mu\nu} - u_\mu u_\nu) dx^\mu dx^\nu \\ &= e^{2\psi} \bar{\delta}_{ij} dx^i dx^j - (u_\mu dx^\mu)^2 \\ &= \frac{A^2}{r^4} [(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + \dots + (dx^{D-1})^2] \\ &\quad - \left[dx^0 + \frac{1}{2} \left(C_1 r^{D-5} + \frac{C_2}{r^4} \right) Q_{ij} (x^j dx^i - x^i dx^j) \right]^2 \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

solves the Einstein-Aether field equation (21) and (22) if

$$v_\mu = \sigma u_\mu, \quad ds^2 = \sigma^2 ds_{godel}^2, \quad c_1 - c_3 = 1. \quad (67)$$

In four dimensions (i.e. $D = 4$), as in the previous solution, assuming $Q_{13} = Q_{23} = 0$ but $Q_{12} \neq 0$, and using cylindrical coordinates, one can write (66) in the form [1]

$$ds_{godel}^2 = \frac{A^2}{r^4} (d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\phi^2 + dz^2) - \left[dt - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{C_1}{r} + \frac{C_2}{r^4} \right) Q_{12} \rho^2 d\phi \right]^2, \quad (68)$$

where $r^2 = \rho^2 + z^2$. As in the previous case, this spacetime also contains closed timelike and null curves when $t, \rho, z = \text{const}$.

One can further compute the energy density (16) for the spacetime (66) by using (62); so it is just a matter of computation to show that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E} &\equiv \frac{1}{4}f^2 = \frac{1}{4}\bar{h}^{ik}\bar{h}^{jl}f_{ij}f_{kl} = \frac{e^{-4\psi}}{4}\bar{\delta}^{ik}\bar{\delta}^{jl}f_{ij}f_{kl} \\ &= \frac{1}{2A^4}\left\{\bar{\delta}^{ij}Q_{ik}Q_{jl}\frac{x^kx^l}{r^2}\left[C_1(D-5)r^{D-1}-4C_2\right]^2\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ 2\bar{\delta}^{ik}\bar{\delta}^{jl}Q_{ij}Q_{kl}\left[C_1r^{D-1}+C_2\right]^2\right\}.\end{aligned}\quad (69)$$

It is evident from this expression that there is no singularity at $r = 0$, for $D > 1$ always.

4.3 Solutions with $(D-1)$ -dimensional Euclidean Tangherlini backgrounds

Now consider the $(D-1)$ -dimensional Euclidean Tangherlini [37] solution as our background metric h_{ij} . Labeling the coordinates by $(t, r, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{D-3})$, so that $x^\mu = (x^0, t, r, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{D-3})$, we can write the metric as follows

$$ds_{D-1}^2 = V(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{V(r)} + r^2 d\Omega_{D-3}^2, \quad (70)$$

where

$$V(r) = 1 - 2m r^{4-D} \quad (D \geq 4) \quad (71)$$

with m being the constant “mass” parameter and $d\Omega_{D-3}^2$ is the metric on the $(D-3)$ -dimensional unit sphere. This metric solves the Euclidean Einstein equations in vacuum, so the Ricci-flatness condition (47) is automatically satisfied.

To fulfill the condition (48), let us assume that $u_i = u(r)\delta_i^t$ for which $f_{ij} = u'(\delta_i^r\delta_j^t - \delta_j^r\delta_i^t)$, where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to r . Then, with the help of the metric (70), it reduces to $(r^{D-3}u')' = 0$, and this can easily be integrated to give

$$u(r) = \begin{cases} a r^{4-D} + b & \text{when } D \geq 5, \\ a \ln r + b & \text{when } D = 4, \end{cases} \quad (72)$$

where a and b are two arbitrary constants, the latter of which can be gauged away and taken to be zero.

Therefore, we can construct the following solution to the Einstein-Aether theory with $v_\mu = \sigma u_\mu$ and $c_1 - c_3 = 1$:

$$ds^2 = \sigma^2 ds_{godel}^2, \quad (73)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{godel}^2 &= g_{\mu\nu}^{godel} dx^\mu dx^\nu = (h_{\mu\nu} - u_\mu u_\nu) dx^\mu dx^\nu \\ &= h_{ij} dx^i dx^j - (u_\mu dx^\mu)^2 \\ &= V(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{V(r)} + r^2 d\Omega_{D-3}^2 - [dx^0 + u(r) dt]^2, \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

with $V(r)$ and $u(r)$ given by (71) and (72), respectively. Here the coordinate x^0 plays the role of the time coordinate. When $D = 5$, after relabeling the angular coordinates, (74) becomes

$$ds_{godel}^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) - \left[dx^0 + \frac{a}{r} dt\right]^2. \quad (75)$$

As is discussed in [1], in this spacetime, only the region $r > 2m$ is physical. Otherwise, the spacetime undergoes a signature change from $(-, +, +, +, +)$ to $(-, -, -, +, +)$.

We can also compute the energy density (16) for the spacetime (74) to obtain

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv \frac{1}{4} f^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{a^2(D-4)^2}{2} r^{2(3-D)} & \text{when } D \geq 5, \\ \frac{a^2}{2r^2} & \text{when } D = 4, \end{cases} \quad (76)$$

revealing the spacetime singularity at $r = 0$ for $a \neq 0$.

5 Conclusion

In this work, we showed that the Gödel-type metrics [1, 2] in D dimensions with nonflat background metric $h_{\mu\nu}$ solve the field equations of the Einstein-Aether theory. We proved that the Gödel-type metrics reduce the complete field equations of the Einstein-Aether theory to a $(D - 1)$ -dimensional vacuum field equations for the background metric and $(D - 1)$ -dimensional

Maxwell equations corresponding to the unit timelike vector field, where all parameters of the theory are left free except $c_1 - c_3 = 1$. We also showed that Gödel-type metrics in D dimensions with nonflat background solve a special reduction of the Einstein-Aether theory [7, 34, 35]. In four dimensions with flat background, the results of this work coincide with those published in [6]. We presented some particular exact solutions to the Einstein-Aether theory in arbitrary dimensions: We considered $(D - 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean flat, conformally flat, and Tangherlini background metrics and showed that in the first two cases there are solutions allowing for the existence of closed timelike and closed null curves in the relevant spacetimes.

Acknowledgements

We thank the referee for carefully reading our manuscript and providing constructive comments. This work is partially supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK).

References

- [1] M. Gürses, A. Karasu, and Ö. Sarioğlu, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **22**, 1527 (2005).
- [2] M. Gürses and Ö. Sarioğlu, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **22**, 4699 (2005).
- [3] K. Gödel, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **21**, 447 (1949).
- [4] R. J. Gleiser, M. Gürses, A. Karasu, and Ö. Sarioğlu, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23**, 2653 (2006).
- [5] M. Gürses, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **42**, 1413 (2010).
- [6] M. Gürses, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **41**, 31 (2009).
- [7] T. Jacobson and D. Mattingly, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 024028 (2001).
- [8] T. Jacobson, *Proc. Sci. QG-PH (2007) 020* [arXiv:0801.1547].
- [9] S. M. Carroll, T. R. Dulaney, M. I. Gresham, and H. Tam, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 065011 (2009).

- [10] W. Donnelly and T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 081501 (2010).
- [11] C. Eling and T. Jacobson, Class. Quantum Grav. **23**, 5625 (2006).
- [12] C. Eling and T. Jacobson, Class. Quantum Grav. **23**, 5643 (2006).
- [13] D. Garfinkle, C. Eling, and T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 024003 (2007).
- [14] T. Tamaki and U. Miyamoto, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 024026 (2008).
- [15] E. Barausse, T. Jacobson, and T. P. Sotiriou, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 124043 (2011).
- [16] C. Gao and Y. G. Shen, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 103508 (2013).
- [17] E. Barausse and T. P. Sotiriou, Class Quantum Grav. **30**, 244010 (2013).
- [18] C. Ding, A. Wang, and X. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 084055 (2015).
- [19] S. M. Carroll and E. A. Lim, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 123525 (2004).
- [20] T. G. Zlosnik, P. G. Ferreira, and G. D. Starkman, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 044017 (2007).
- [21] C. Bonvin, R. Durrer, P. G. Ferreira, G. D. Starkman, and T. G. Zlosnik, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 024037 (2008).
- [22] T. G. Zlosnik, P. G. Ferreira, and G. D. Starkman, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 084010 (2008).
- [23] J. Zuntz, T. G. Zlosnik, F. Bourliot, P. G. Ferreira, and G. D. Starkman, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 104015 (2010).
- [24] A. B. Balakin and J. P. S. Lemos, Ann. Phys. **350**, 454 (2014).
- [25] T. Y. Alpin and A. B. Balakin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **25**, 1650048 (2016).
- [26] M. Gürses and Ç. Şentürk, arXiv:1604.02266.
- [27] C. Eling and T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 064005 (2004).

- [28] J. W. Elliot, G. D. Moore, and H. Stoica, JHEP **0508**, 066 (2005).
- [29] B. Z. Foster and T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 064015 (2006).
- [30] T. Jacobson, *Einstein-Aether Gravity: Theory and Observational Constraints*, in the Proceedings of the **Meeting on CPT and Lorentz Symmetry (CPT 07)**, Bloomington, Indiana, 8-11 Aug. 2007 [arXiv.0711.3822].
- [31] J. A. Zuntz, P. G. Ferreira, and T. G. Zlosnik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 261102 (2008).
- [32] K. Yagi, D. Blas, E. Barausse, and N. Yunes, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 084067 (2014).
- [33] K. Yagi, D. Blas, N. Yunes, and E. Barausse, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 161101 (2014).
- [34] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 083509 (2004).
- [35] T. G. Zlosnik, P. G. Ferreira, and G.D. Starkman, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 044037 (2006).
- [36] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity* (The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984).
- [37] F. R. Tangherlini, Nuovo Cim. **27**, 636 (1963).